Putting People First Milestone Self-Improvement Framework

Purpose of this document

This has been co-designed by the Putting People First Social Care Consortium to accompany the milestones. It provides you with some brief descriptors for each of milestones and also with some questions to help you with your planning for each of the milestones. These are not intended to be prescriptive.

How might you use this?

We have designed this as a self assessment tool. We hope that councils will find it a useful means to:-

- Stimulate strategic discussions, internal challenge and a review of existing plans in the light of the milestones
- Map and measure your own progress in implementing the Milestones and to enable reports to key Local Programme Management and Management teams
- To identify areas where you need to do more work
- To form the basis for a discussion with regional Transformation Leads, and to identify where the assistance of regional support staff from regional JIPs and partner bodies will be helpful
- To identify opportunities for sharing learning with other local authorities
- $\circ\,$ To identify areas for regional work/projects, to assist with particular common challenges

How often will you want to use this?

We think it will be sensible to update this self assessment at least quarterly, or at a time appropriate to the Authority taking into account the timescales for the milestones. The results should feed into normal reporting processes in your council.

How will Information be used regionally?

How this information is used will be a decision for each region.

It could provide regional Putting People First/TASC steering groups/JIP boards etc with progress and planning reports to assist with the ongoing development of regional programmes of support. Transformation Leads could use this information to better focus support to their regions and to highlight areas of innovative practise.

Progress with Putting People First milestones

Council: Oxfordshire Dec 2009 (3rd Quarter Review)

Underpinning Requirements

Are all stakeholders fully engaged and supportive of local planning for "Putting People First"	Red	Amber/ Red	Amber / Green	Green
The full engagement of all service users.			X	
The full engagement of all staff working to support the delivery of care, which includes people working in the provider services and third sector organisations.			X	
The full engagement of Primary Care Trusts and the wider health community.			X	
The full engagement of local politicians			X	
The full engagement of all parts of local councils and of other key strategic partners.		X		
The support of regional and national programmes.			X	
Are the following Key Arrangements resolved and in place	Red	Amber/ Red	Amber/ Green	Green
A system is in place, which manages the risks associated with the transformation that includes both the risks for individuals and financial and other risks			X	
Clarity of the business models that will need to be adapted to support the transformation			X	
Financial systems, which support the delivery of personal budgets.		X		
A local project plan for the delivery of the transformation with clear projections and targets to reach locally identified milestones			x	
Business cases, which track the new investments,			X	
and disinvestments that will be required to support the change.				

Milestone 1:	Effective partnerships with People using services, carers and other local citizens					
Description:	Successful delivery of Putting People First will depend on citizens, people accessing care and support and carers working in a co-productive relationship with Local Authorities and their partners at all levels in the design, planning and delivery of new personalised systems and services. Formal and informal structures should be in place to allow citizens and the full spectrum of user and carer representatives to contribute to the local design and delivery of social care transformation. This should go well beyond traditional "consultation" User-led organisations can provide expertise (such as service user experience) that is not always available within local authorities and this expertise should be harnessed to co-produce the transformation of social					
Key Dates	April 2010		October 2010		April 2011	
and Deliverables:	That a communication been made to the public including all current set users and to all local stakeholders about the transformation agenda its benefits for them. That the move to perso budgets is well underst and that local service us are contributing to the development of local practice. [By Dec 2009 That users and carers involved with and regula consulted about the councils plans for transformation of adult social care.	and and and bonal tood isers 2 are larly	October 2010 That local service users understand the changes to personal budgets and that many are contributing to the development of local practice.		the area has at least one user-led organisation who are directly contributing to the transformation to	
How likely	Very likely		Very likely		Very likely	
are we to achieve this	Fairly likely	Х	Fairly likely	Х	Fairly likely	Х
milestone by this date?	Fairly unlikely		Fairly unlikely		Fairly unlikely	
	Very unlikely		Very unlikely		Very unlikely	

Milestone 1:	Effective partnerships with Peo other local citizens	ople using services, carers and
Key Questions:	 Does our Authority have plans in place to meet the DH target of a ULO in place by the end of 2010? 	Yes – and we are one of the a demonstrator sites for SE region
	 Is there a programme board for the delivery of PPF that has direct representation of users/carers? 	No – there is a Programme Board but no user/carer reps are on this board. The new Programme Assurance Group has user and carer reps.
	Does out Authority have a range of means at all levels to effectively co-produce transformation with people who use care and support?	Yes – via the service user and carer reference group and key workshops on critical specific and strategic issues – eg RAS workshop, ULO Workshop
	What are we planning to do next?	 Spec for ULO Spring 10 Improve the involvement and range of users and carers in the reference group Development of Programme Assurance Group to include user and carer representatives
	What could prevent us from achieving this milestone?	Lack of involvement and agreement by local groups/people about ULO direction and model
	 What external support would help? 	Support in working on the role of Councils in leading/developing a ULO
Key Risks and Mitigating Actions:	 Timescale for ULO development is tight No consensus among user/carer representatives or representative groups about the forming of a ULO Disengagement of people from user and carer reference group 	 Monitor progress and report back to TASC programme board of issues/concerns Monitor progress and report back to TASC programme board of issues/concerns. Support from SE team Regular feedback at each meeting on appropriateness and focus of meetings – are we getting it right?
Useful Information:	The DoH document "User-led organisa criteria for ULOs:	
	http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsati	ndstatistics/Publications/PublicationsP

Milestone 2:	Self-directed supp	ort ar	nd perso	onal b	udget	S	
Description:	Success on this milestone would mean systems are in place to allow citizens who require social care support to easily find and choose quality support, and control when and where services are provided, and by whom. For those citizens eligible for council funding, the amount available to those individuals should be known prior to starting person centred support planning. People should have the ability to spend part or all of their money in a way that they choose; including being able to mix directly purchased and council provided services. Extra help should be available to any citizen that needs help with information and advice or to negotiate their support.						
Key Dates	April 2010		October 2	2010		April 2011	
and Deliverables:	That every council has introduced personal budgets, which are being used by existing or new service users/ carers.		That all new service users / carers (with assessed need for ongoing support) are offered a personal budget. That all service users whose care plans are subject to review are offered a personal budget.		That at least 30% of eligible service users/carers have a personal budget.		
How likely	Very likely	х	Very like	ely		Very likely	
are we to achieve this	Fairly likely		Fairly lik	ely	х	Fairly likely	х
milestone by this date?	Fairly unlikely		Fairly ur	likely		Fairly unlikely	
	Very unlikely		Very unlikely			Very unlikely	
Key Questions:	 Have we started to issue personal budgets? If No to the above On what date are we planning to start issuing personal budgets? 			Curren PB or	ntly 9.3 DP.	Yes ocated by 11 Jan % of people have ext quarter is 12.5	a

Milestone 2:	Self-directed support and pers	onal budgets
	 Is this a pilot or mainstream activity for all new customers? 	Currently a pilot but plans to mainstream from May 10 and accelerated review programme for existing older people has extended the pilot.
	What are we planning to do next?	Business Model Agreed Organisational Structure and Systems/Processes being developed Dec-March 10 RAS policy by June 10 Formal consultation March-May 10 Systems/Processes to be rolled out by July 10 Brokerage block contracts to be tendered February 10
	 What could prevent us from achieving this milestone? 	Tight Timescales Setting of RAS and budget position not aligned Delays in restructuring and retraining operational division High level of RAS appeals Delays in ICT implementation Insufficient Brokerage if demand higher than expected
	What external support would help?	Sufficient support available
Key Risks and Mitigating Actions:	 a) Legal challenge to RAS b)Insufficient funding of RAS due to efficiency savings c) Problems with restructuring d) Market response insufficient 	 a) Use of FACE RAS, senior management seminar on RAS to agree policy b)Final RAS allocation formula approved at senior level c) Joint team established to develop and implement new model to deliver SDS d) Providers briefed and consulted on developments
Useful Information:	Upcoming SDS restatement / Legal ad	lvice / Operating Model document

Milestone 3:	Prevention and co	st eff	ective service	S		
Description:	This milestone looks at a whole system approach to prevention, intervention and cost effective services. This includes the support available that will help any citizen requiring help to stay independent for as long as possible. A key part of this is ensuring council-wide and partnership approaches to universal services e.g. leisure, adult education, transport, employment, healthy living and health improvement (backed by targeted intervention), along with housing and supported living options. Examples of intervention include reablement type services that help people regain independence to live in their own home. It also helps people to avoid becoming dependent on council provided services with national studies demonstrating many people finish reablement services with either a reduced need for care, or no ongoing requirement at all. It is important that the council and the NHS are jointly investing in early intervention and preventions at best include telecare, case finding/case co-ordination and joint teams for complex and end of life care. Being able to evidence these types of savings is crucial, and reablement type services should form an intrinsic part of any Putting People First operating model.					
Key Dates and Deliverables:	April 2010 That every council has a clear strategy, jointly with health, for how it will shift some investment from reactive provision towards preventative and enabling/ rehabilitative interventions for 2010/11. Agreements should be in place with health to share the risks and benefits to the 'whole system'.		October 2010 That processes in place to moni across the whol system the impa- this shift in investment towa preventative and enabling service This will enable efficiency gains captured and factored into joir investment plan especially with health.	tor e act of ards d es. to be nt	April 2011 That there is evide that cashable sav have been release a result of the preventative strate and that overall so care has delivered minimum of 3% cashable savings. There should also evidence that join planning has been to apportion costs benefits across th 'whole system'.	ings ed as egies ocial d a be t n able and
How likely	Very likely		Very likely		Very likely	
are we to achieve this	Fairly likely	х	Fairly likely	х	Fairly likely	Х
milestone by this date?	Fairly unlikely		Fairly unlikely		Fairly unlikely	
	Very unlikely		Very unlikely		Very unlikely	

Milestone 3:	Prevention and cost effective s	ervices
Key Questions:	Do we have a strategy and/or an investment programme for Prevention and Early Intervention?	Yes – we have a draft strategy that is currently being re-written and agreed as part of Ageing Successfully, our strategy for joint commissioning for older people. We are also doing detailed work to identify areas for investment and potential savings alongside this, with input from the PCT, which will feed into the budget planning process
	 Are health partners involved in this strategy? 	Yes – from PCT Commissioning and Public Health. Jointly appointed Director of Public Health and Head of Joint Commissioning for Older People, in place
	What are we planning to do next?	Complete the development of our joint strategy with the PCT
	What could prevent us from achieving this milestone?	Delays to agreement about what the content of the strategy should be
	What external support would help?	More evidence regarding the effectiveness of preventative services on reducing demand for intensive social and health care services, particularly residential care.
Key Risks and Mitigating Actions:	Financial climate makes investment in anything a challenge. Services have to make efficiency savings and at the same time identify investment in prevention, Financial return on investment difficult to demonstrate for some interventions, especially those with a long lead in time – these challenges make real agreement on	Appointment of joint commissioner and creation of new joint commissioning team between OCC and the PCT should mean decision making is clearer and joined up. Research on what preventive approaches are most effective.
Useful Information:	a strategy difficult.	

Milestone 4:	Information and a	Information and advice					
Description:	All citizens should be able to easily find locally relevant quality information and advice about their care and support needs in order to enable control and inform choice. Information should be available in a range of formats and through channels to make it accessible to all groups. Provision of information, advice and guidance should move from being largely developed from separate initiatives to a single coherent service strategy.					ol and d	
Key Dates and	April 2010 That every council has	a	October 2		has	April 2011 That the public a	re
Deliverables:	strategy in place to cre universal information a advice services.	ate	put in pla arranger universa informat advice.	ace ments fo al acces	or	informed about w they can go to ge best information advice about the and support need	vhere et the and ir care
How likely	Very likely		Very like	ely		Very likely	
are we to achieve this	Fairly likely	x	Fairly lik	ely	x	Fairly likely	X
milestone by this date?	Fairly unlikely		Fairly ur	nlikely		Fairly unlikely	
	Very unlikely		Very unl	ikely		Very unlikely	
Key Questions:	Do we have a strategy for universal access to information, support and guidance for adult social care?			No I	but wil	l be in place for <i>A</i> 2010	April
	 Are self-funders (i.e. all citizens) included in this strategy so they can make of both universal and paid f services to stay independe 				Ye	s they will be	
	 On what date is this strategy will 				April 10		
	 Is the council helping voluntation organisations and other partners provide universal information and advice to a wide range of the population 		er ersal e to a			Yes	
	What are we pla next?	anning	to do	end of	f Jan 1	formation strateg 0 for consultation TASC board by A	n and

Milestone 4:	Information and advice	
	What could prevent us from achieving this milestone?	Entrenched views of current info and advice service providers.
	 What external support would help? 	Highlighting of good practice where milestones have been achieved by other Councils.
Key Risks and Mitigating Actions:	 Resources required for the project are not forthcoming, thus delaying the project. Information Owners of information unable or unwilling to commit time to the project to ensure that the information changes required are delivered as per the project's findings. This will delay/threaten the project's success. Buy in from various stakeholders. Overlap of project activity with other projects and work being carried out. Identification of Information Owners delays the project. 	 Project Manager and Strategic Lead work with Information Owners to bring them onboard; escalation to Programme Director should there still be an issue. As above – escalate to Programme Director Strong project management approach in place, benefits of the project are material, agreed and communicated Communications audit, defined scope for the project. Liaise with Service Managers within the directorate and investigate what Corporate Core are involved in. Project resource to work with Service Managers to identify Information Owners.
Useful Information:		

Milestone 5:	Local commission	ing				
Description:	Councils need to ensure the development of a diverse and high quality market in care and support services to offer real choice and control to service users and their carers. Commissioning strategies based on the local JSNA, and in partnership with other local commissioners, providers and consumers of services should incentivise development of diverse and high quality services, and balance investment in prevention, early intervention/reablement with provision of care and support for those with high-level complex needs. User-led initiatives and a much wider range and scale of services to address local need should emerge, in a market that is increasingly populated by individual purchasers.					
Key Dates and Deliverables:	April 2010 That councils and PCT have commissioning strategies that address future needs of their lo population and have be subject to developmen all stakeholders espec service users and care providers and third sec organisations in their a These commissioning strategies take accoun the priorities identified through their JSNAs.	a the cal een t with ially ers; ctor reas.	October 2010 That providers a third sector organisations ar clear on how the can respond to the needs of people using personal budgets. An increase in the range of service choice is eviden That councils had clear plans regat the required ball of investment to deliver the transformation agenda.	he he he he he he he he he he he he he h	April 2011 That stakeholders clear on the impa- that purchasing by individuals, both publicly (personal budgets) and priv funded, will have the procurement of councils and PCT such a way that w guarantee the righ kind of supply of services to meet I care and support needs.	ct y ately on of 's in <i>r</i> ill nt
How likely	Very likely		Very likely		Very likely	
are we to achieve this	Fairly likely	Х	Fairly likely	х	Fairly likely	х
milestone by this date?	Fairly unlikely		Fairly unlikely		Fairly unlikely	
	Very unlikely		Very unlikely		Very unlikely	

Milestone 5:	Local commissioning	
Key Questions:	Are we working with providers so they understand how we want them to develop and how they can develop flexible support arrangements?	Yes
	Have we clear links between adults social care transformation and the NHS local services commissioning?	Yes
	How have commissioning and contracting arrangements been changed to enable providers to offer choice and flexibility	This has been limited so far for older people and people with physical disabilities. Change of contracts for LD with new framework contracts. And for Mental Health major review of contracted services underway
	How are we shaping the market in order to develop a supply of services that will meet the needs of all citizens that require social care?	Briefing and consultation programme for key provider sectors. Reduction of block contracts. Development of new services including Personal Assistants and Brokers. Individual Service Fund project in Care Homes for Older People. Major review of provision and contracts in LD services, framework contract programme
	To what extent are users, carers, providers and third sectors been involved in developing the commissioning strategy?	Regular meetings with Providers and annual Commissioning Conference. Good involvement of LiNK. Carers involved with development of strategies. Involvement of service users is more developed in LD and MH – beginning to happen for older people and people with physical disability
ASFEB1010R080.doc	What are we planning to do next?	Ageing Successfully strategy developed by April 2010. MH strategy implemented. Implementation of LD Framework Contracts. Review of contracts and contract

ASFEB1010R080.doc

Milestone 5:	Local commissioning	
	What could prevent us from	arrangements. Development of day services strategy Non engagement of key
	achieving this milestone?	partners/stakeholders. Financial situation and scale of efficiency savings. Size and timescale of the changes
	What external support would help?	Highlighting of good practice where milestones have been achieved by other Councils
Key Risks and Mitigating Actions:	 Non-engagement of key stakeholders/partners Financial situation Scale of change and timescales Limited local, regional and national evidence of impact of changes 	 Communication and Engagement Strategy and plan. Regular meetings with stakeholder groups. Medium Term Financial Plan. Risk Registers. Regular monitoring and review Robust programme and project management. Communication and Engagement strategy. Risk re Evaluation criteria developed – providing the evidence of where to invest/dis-invest locally and nationally.
Useful Information:		